

# NJ BPAC Safety Subcommittee Meeting December 11, 2014, 12:30pm

### 1. Google Group:

New members to be added to the Google group.

## 2. <u>Review NJDOT Safety Webpage:</u>

- This page is not quite ready.
- After the next internal meeting, text pages will be available
- Elise will email the text pages to the group for review and recommendations when they become available.

#### 3. <u>Identify good examples of safety performance measurement/ Data and Data Gaps:</u>

- Liz Thompson shared some examples from NJTPA where they have examined crash data after a project has been completed and have seen declines post construction.
- The examples shared deal with the number of crashes; they do not incorporate details about crash severity and do not identify high crash areas.
- Determining how we would apply this to bike/ped crashes would take further discussion. It would be interesting to see crashes per volume of cyclists.
- <u>Question</u>: Are Eco counters the approved NJDOT method for bike/ped counting?
- Baker has started looking at the use of thermal imaging cameras to actuate ped counts; this could be another way to collect data. Two members of the group believe that there is currently a pilot use of this technology in Bergen County. NJTPA loans out PYRO and Pneumatic Tube Eco Counters for counting bicycles and pedestrians. These are temporary installations, usually for 4 weeks at a time and are not permanent like the other Eco Counter products.
- Data needs to start being collected at a statewide level; there is no good baseline for bike/ped numbers.
- Minneapolis did a "Citizen Counting" volunteer driven and very effective way to conduct bike/ped counts. In Newark, NJTPA counted from filming a high crash pedestrian intersection. Volunteer driven counts may be something that the NJ Bike & Walk Coalition may want to take up.
- PSMS (Pedestrian Safety Management System) should help with exposure data. Looks at proximity to pedestrian trip generators and what characteristics are associated with high ped crashes.
- <u>Question</u>: There are a lot of counts happening; who manages data counts at NJDOT and can we get ped counts included as part of vehicle counts?
- <u>Question</u>: Oregon probably has the best bike/ped data. How does it work there where does the funding come from? New York City and Seattle are also good examples.

#### 4. <u>Identify barriers to identifying network screening locations for top bike/ped crash locations</u> <u>across the state</u>:

• Nothing to report at this time –Alan will discuss with the group when he has more information.



- Amy will follow up and see what kind of network screening information/data CAIT offers.
- The state will need to agree to the process. Even if the data is there, NJDOT may be uncomfortable sharing publicly. This may be something only used internally.

#### 5. <u>Next steps:</u>

- Elise will talk to the Washington and Ohio SRTS Coordinators for insight into how they collect bike/ped data and where the funding comes from.
- Amy will follow up and see what kind of network screening information/data CAIT offers. Amy will also look into the Minneapolis "Citizen Counting" project; Elise will give her a State contact.
- Liz will look into how they collect bike/ped data and where the funding comes from in Oregon. Elise will give her a State contact.