Factors impacting the fatality of nonmotorist involved crashes in New Jersey

RUTGERS

Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy





HANNAH YOUNES, PHD

HYOUNES@EJB.RUTGERS.EDU

VOORHEES TRANSPORTATION CENTER

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY

1/24/2023

Introduction and research questions

Fatal non-motorist involved crashes account for **1/3rd of all fatal crashes** in New Jersey. Nonmotorist involved crashes (either fatal/injury or property damage only) occur disproportionately more in low-income and minority communities.

- 1. What is the relationship between non-motorist involved crashes, geocoded crashes, and low-income and minority communities?
- 2. Where are the community hot spots? A hot spot analysis of crashes in New Jersey.
- 3. What are the risk factors? A regression analysis.



Data: Geocoded Safety Voyager Bicycle and/or Pedestrian Involved Crashes from 2016-2020



Data

Over 31,000 pedestrian and bicyclist involved crashes between 2016 and 2020.

 Crash data came from: Safety Voyager – NJDOT Numetric – NJDHTS 	Crash type	Number of Crashes	Number of Fatal Crashes	Percentage Fatal
	Total	1,320,252	2,954	0.2%
	Pedestrian	22,463	905	4.0%
	Cyclist	9,413	72	0.8%
	Pedestrian	31,598	976	3.1%
	and/or cyclist			

Other data used:

- Sidewalk and crosswalk data DVRPC
- Overburdened communities data NJDEP
- Population density Smart Location Database (EPA)
- High income communities US Census Bureau





Geocoded non-motorist involved crashes

Crashes needed to be geocoded in order to be spatially joined to the data sources.

90% of crashes are geocoded. Therefore, around 3,000 crashes were excluded due to lack of longitude/latitude coordinates. 20 of those were fatal crashes.

The rate of geocoded crashes varies from municipality to municipality. The 25 municipalities with the most crashes are displayed here.

Are crashes more likely to be geocoded in highincome areas and areas with more white people?

Municipality	-	Geocod 💌	All Cras 💌	Percenta→
HOBOKEN CITY		349	341	97.71
PERTH AMBOY CITY		312	303	97.12
UNION CITY		475	460	96.84
MONTCLAIR TWP		249	241	96.79
BAYONNE CITY		410	394	96.10
JERSEY CITY		2067	1970	95.31
EDISON TWP		230	219	95.22
IRVINGTON TWP		630	597	94.76
CLIFTON CITY		338	320	94.67
LAKEWOOD TWP		512	484	94.53
ATLANTIC CITY		406	383	94.33
PASSAIC CITY		554	519	93.68
EAST ORANGE CITY		439	408	92.94
FORT LEE BORO		309	285	92.23
WOODBRIDGE TWP		275	253	92.00
TEANECK TWP		240	218	90.83
TRENTON CITY		495	447	90.30
NORTH BERGEN TWP		408	362	88.73
NEWARK CITY		2991	2586	86.46
WEST NEW YORK TOWN		330	282	85.45
HACKENSACK CITY		390	329	84.36
NEW BRUNSWICK CITY		389	327	84.06
CAMDEN CITY		571	473	82.84
PATERSON CITY		1382	1129	81.69
ELIZABETH CITY		914	689	75.38



Correlation between percentage of geocoded crashes, income, and race

Crash occurrence in a municipality:

- Correlation between the percentage of non-white people and number of crashes: 0.22
- Correlation between the percentage of low-income workers and number of crashes: 0.12

Percent of crashes that are geocoded in a municipality

- Correlation between the percentage of non-white people and the rate of geocoded crashes: -0.17
- Correlation between the percentage of low-income workers and the rate of geocoded crashes: -0.13

 \succ As the percentage of minorities and low-income households increases, more reported crashes (per person and per sq. mi) \succ As the percentage of minorities and low-income households increases, reported crashes are less likely to be geocoded



Overburdened communities and geocoded crashes

Overburdened communities are defined here as: CBGs where **at least 35 percent** of the households qualify as **low-income households** and **at least 40 percent** of the residents identify as **minority**. (NJDEP)

These communities make up **21%** of the NJ population

<u>However, 40% of all NJ geocoded crashes occur</u> <u>in overburdened communities</u>



Data: Geocoded Safety Voyager Bicycle and/or Pedestrian Involved Crashes from 2016-2020

Overburdened communities and geocoded crashes

Overburdened communities are <u>minority</u>, <u>low income</u>, <u>and/or limited English communities</u>. They make up 20.6% of the NJ population.

However, 40.3% of all NJ crashes occur in overburdened communities

Geocoded Crashes	NJ	Low income/Minorities	Percentage
Dark Conditions (No lights)	1,111	320	28.8%
Dark Conditions (Lights)	8,620	3,819	44.3%
Daylight	17,404	6,753	38.8%
State Highway Crashes	4,000	921	23.0%
Fatal Crashes	956	258	27.0%
Youth Involved Crashes	5,126	2,047	39.9%
All Crashes	28,643	11,544	40.3%

Data: Numetric and Safety Voyager Bicycle and/or Pedestrian Involved Crashes from 2016-2020 and NJDEP Overburdened Communities Data



Hotspots for bike/ped crashes per capita

All crashes (left) Fatal Crashes (right)





Regression analysis

Research questions:

- Which factors impact whether a crash is fatal for a pedestrian or a bicyclist?
- 2. Do those factors differ between pedestrian and bicyclist crashes?
- 3. Is the proximity to a crosswalk and sidewalk a significant factor in the fatality of pedestrian crashes? (analysis on DVRPC region only)





Factors considered

- Road Type
- Light Conditions
- Environmental Conditions
- Vehicle Type
- Speed of Roadway
- Availability of a sidewalk and/or a crosswalk
- Socio-demographic variables at the community (CBG) level

976 out of 31,598 non-motorist involved crashes were fatal for the non-motorist in all of New Jersey (3%)

239 out of 3,893 non-motorist involved crashes were fatal for the non-motorist in the DVRPC region (6%)



RESPONSE VARIABLE: IS THE CRASH FATAL FOR	DVRPC Region – Peds (N=2,199; 175	All NJ - Peds (N = 17,336; 690 fatal)	All NJ – Cyclists (N=8,118;
THE NON-MOTORIST? (Yes/No)	fatal)		66 fatal)
Age of Non-motorist			
Non-motorist age: > 65	3.2	3.4	2.6
Non-motorist age: < 20	n.s.	0.6	n.s.
Road System (Reference: Municipal)			
County	n.s.	1.5	0.6
State/US Highway	3.6	3.4	n.s.
Light Conditions (Reference: Daylight)			
Dark (Street lights on)	3.3	3.5	2.2
Dark (Street lights off)	6	6.5	7.5
Dawn	5.4	2.6	n.s.
Dusk	3.9	2.5	n/a
Environmental Conditions: Not Clear	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Road Conditions: Not Dry	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Vehicle Type:			
Bus	n.s.	2.8	10.8
Truck	n.s.	6.2	13.7
Speed limit: Faster than 40mph	3	3.2	5.2
Weekend	n.s.	1.3	n.s.
Sidewalk > 20 meters	1.6	n/a	n/a
Crosswalk > 10 meters	1.6	n/a	n/a
CBG level variables			
Population Density	n.s.		n.s.
Employment Density	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Low income	n.s.	n.s.	1.6
High income	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

Results: Under what conditions are fatal crashes more likely to occur?

Non-motorists aged 65+ were 2.6-3.4 times more likely to suffer a fatal injury.

Road system: State/US highways are more likely to be fatal for pedestrians (3.4-3.6 times more likely).

Light conditions: Compared to daylight, crashes that occurred in dark conditions (no street lights) were 6-7.5 times more likely to be fatal.

Speed: a posted speed of > 40mph was 2.9-3.0 times more likely to be deadly

A truck or bus collision are particularly deadlier for cyclists: 10.8-13.7 times more than cars.

No sidewalk nearby (20 meters): 1.6 times more likely to be fatal for pedestrians

No crosswalk nearby (10 meters): 1.6 times more likely to be fatal for pedestrians

Cyclist crashes in low-income areas are 1.6 times more likely to be fatal.

Some conclusions for planning

Light conditions: At night, a crash is twice as likely to be deadly if there are no lights available or if they are broken or off.

Crosswalks and sidewalks: A crash involving a pedestrian who had no access to a crosswalk or sidewalk was 1.6 times as likely to be deadly.

Speed: A lower speed limit of less than 40mph can save lives.

Bike lanes: Bike lanes save lives. Low-income areas often do not have as many bike lanes, which may explain the increased likelihood of fatality.

Data necessity: The model could be improved if we had more information on number of road lanes (missing in 83% of the records), sidewalk and crosswalk availability for all of NJ, vehicle involved (including year), availability of a bicycle lane, etc.



Thank you!

Hannah Younes – <u>hyounes@ejb.rutgers.edu</u>

Robert B. Noland – <u>rnoland@rutgers.edu</u>

Leigh Ann Von Hagen – <u>lavh@ejb.rutgers.edu</u>

Sean Meehan – <u>smeehan@ejb.rutgers.edu</u>

We would like to thank NJDOT for funding this research through the Safe Routes Resource Center contract.

RUTGERS

Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy

